

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF GOMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/645,565 | 08/22/2003 | Stefan Aurich | 566/37416C | 9184 | |
| 7590 09/08/2004 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| BARNES & T Suite 900 | HORNBURG | | TORRES, M | MELANIE | |
| 750 17th Street, N.W. | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Washington, DC 20006 | | | 3683 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| , | | 4 | |
|---|---|---|---|
| ł | • | • | 1 |

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Cummons | 10/645,565 | AURICH ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Melanie Torres | 3683 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ju | <u>une 2004</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | nce except for formal matters, pro | secution as to the merits is | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 3 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: | • | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/645,565 Page 2

Art Unit: 3683

.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grazioli et al. in view of Hart.

Re claim 13, Grazioli et al. disclose a brake system for a railway vehicle having at least two bogies comprising: a main air reservoir line (9) fed by a compressed-air generating device, a compressed-air line connecting the main air reservoir line to a compressed air reservoir (12) on each bogie via a shut off valve (8.1) and the compressed air reservoir of each bogie being connected to pneumatic brake units (6.1) and auxiliary pneumatic units (7.1) on each bogie.

Grazioli et al. does not teach a compressed-air line connecting the main air reservoir line to a check valve. Hart teaches a compressed-air line connecting the main air reservoir line to a check valve (18). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have included a check valve in the system of Grazioli since check valves are well known in the art for maintaining pressure in the reservoir as taught by Hart.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-12 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,565

Art Unit: 3683

Response to Arguments

Page 3

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 13 has been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melanie Torres whose telephone number is (703)305-0293. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Lavinder can be reached on (703)308-3421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mt Melanie Sorres

September 2, 2004